Logical Operators and their Truth Tables

- 1. Not: (negation): $\tilde{}$
- 2. And (Conjunction): \wedge
- 3. **Or:** (Disjunction): \lor
- 4. Conditional (Implication): \implies
- 5. Equivalence (If and only if): \iff (\equiv)

Tautologies / Contradictions

1. $p \wedge \sim p$ A contradiction
2. $p \lor \sim p$
3. $\sim \sim p \iff p$
4. $(P \land (P \Rightarrow Q)) \Rightarrow Q$
5. $(p \lor q) \iff (\sim p) \land (\sim q)$
6. $(p \land q) \iff (\ \tilde{p}) \lor (\ \tilde{q})$
7. $(p \Longrightarrow q) \iff (\sim q) \Longrightarrow (\sim p)$ contrapositive
8. $(p \Longrightarrow q) \iff (\sim p) \lor q$
9. $(P \Rightarrow Q) \iff ((P \land \tilde{Q}) \Rightarrow (R \land \tilde{R}))$

 $10. \ ((p \Longrightarrow q) \Longrightarrow (r \Longrightarrow s)) \iff ((p \Longrightarrow q) \wedge r) \Longrightarrow s$

Quantifiers

Universal: \forall **Example:** $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}$ $x^2 + 1 > 0$ is a true statement

Existential: \exists **Example:** There is an integer solution to $x^2 + 5x + 6 = 0$ is a true statement. (x = -2)

Negation of quantifiers $\exists x (p(x)) \text{ means } \forall x \ p(x)$

Proof Methods

Direct Proof of $H \Longrightarrow C$ **or** $H \Longrightarrow C_1 \wedge C_2$

- 1. Start with the (conjoined) hypotheses of H
- 2. Use nothing but logical steps See below.
- 3. Deduce C. (Deduce each of the C_i)

Use of the Contrapositive to prove $H\Longrightarrow C$ Uses the tautology $(H\Longrightarrow C) \Longleftrightarrow (\sim C) \Longrightarrow (\sim H)$

- 1. Start with (conjoined) statements of $\sim C$
- 2. Use nothing but logical steps
- 3. Deduce $\sim H$

 $\textbf{Proof by Contradiction of } H \Longrightarrow C \textbf{ Uses Tautology } (((H \land (\sim C))) \Longrightarrow (D \land (\sim D))) \Longrightarrow C$

- 1. Start with $(\sim C)$
- 2. Use H and nothing but logical steps to get $(D \land (\sim D))$
- 3. Deduce $(\sim \sim C)$

How to deal with conjunctions and disjunctions

Disjoined Hypotheses $H_1 \lor H_2 \Longrightarrow C$ Uses the Tautology ...

1. Do it by cases: Prove the 2 individual implications $H_i \Longrightarrow C$

Disjoined Conclusions $H \Longrightarrow C_1 \lor C_2$ Uses the Tautology $[H \Longrightarrow (C_1 \lor C_2)] \Longleftrightarrow [(H \land \sim C_1) \Longrightarrow C_2]$

- 1. Start with C and the negation of all but one C_i
- 2. Deduce the last C.

How to prove Universal statements $\forall x \ (p(x) \Longrightarrow q(x))$

- 1. Start with an **arbitrary** element x in the universal set X
- 2. Show that, using only the properties of being in $X \quad p(x) \Longrightarrow q(x)$
- 3. Example: If x > 1 then $x^2 > x$. Proof: Let x be an arbitrary number bigger than 1.

How to prove Existential Statements $\exists x \ p(x)$

- 1. Best approach is to **actually exhibit** an instance of x.
- 2. Or do a proof by contradiction.

Forward-Backward method for doing proofs

Basic (Named) Rules of Inference

- 1. Modus Ponens (mode that affirms) (mode that affirms by affirming) $((p \Longrightarrow q) \land p) \Longrightarrow q$
- 2. Syllogism $((p \Longrightarrow q) \land (q \Longrightarrow r)) \Longrightarrow (p \Longrightarrow r)$
- 3. Contrapositive $(p \Longrightarrow q) \iff ((\sim q) \Longrightarrow (\sim p))$

(a) this is distinct from the converse and the obverse

- 4. Modus Tollens (mode that denies) ("the way that denies by denying") $((p \Longrightarrow q) \land (\sim q)) \Longrightarrow (\sim p)$
- 5. Contradiction $(((p \land (\sim q))) \Longrightarrow (r \land (\sim r))) \Longrightarrow q$