## SL: PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

## Test information

$\square$ The second test is next week Monday (12/1)
$\square$ In-class, closed book, closed notes
$\square$ Similar to previous test
$\square$ Not comprehensive - starts at HMM
$\square$ List of topics posted on Piazza

## Test information

## Covered

$\square$ HMM, filtering, smoothing, particle filtering
$\square$ Supervised learning, naïve Bayes
$\square$ Decision trees, neural networks, support vector machines
$\square$ Clustering
Not Covered
$\square$ Prediction, Most likely explanation, Viterbi Algorithm
$\square$ Won't ask you to derive Backpropagation/SVMs
$\square$ No calculator needed

## Today

## Reading

$\square$ AIMA 18.4 (Cross-validation)
$\square$ AIMA 18.10-18.11 (Ensembles)

Goals
$\square$ Step 1: Formulating the problem
The first 4 steps are not
$\square$ Step 2: Exploring the data necessarily done in a strict linear progression
$\square$ Step 3: Feature Selection
$\square$ Step 4: Training
$\square$ Step 5: Testing

## Overview

$$
D=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, N\right\} \quad \text { where } \quad f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)=y_{i}
$$



## Step 1: Formulate the problem

What quantity are you predicting?
$\square$ real-valued, categorical, structure?
$\square$ Changing over time?
$\square$ Classification

- Binary classification? Multi-class classification?
- Singly-labeled? Multi-labeled?
- For multi-labeled classification tasks, how correlated are the labels?

What data do you have?

- Where to get labeled data? (Amazon mechanical turk)

How much labeled data?
$\square$ What is the quality of the labeled data?
Are the labels learnable given the data?
$\square$ Is the distribution of labels in the data skewed/imbalanced?

## Guiding Principles

Unsupervised learning as a surrogate for supervised learning...is a headache. Just get more data

Reproducibility
Think of how you would justify each decision you made

Start simple and iterate

## Reducing multi-class to binary task



## Multi-label Classification

Each example can be labeled with multiple labels
$\square$ Don't confuse this with multi-class classification!
$\square$ Common for document classification or object recognition


One-vs-all
$\square$ One classifier for every possible combination of labels
$\square$ Combinatorial explosion
$\square$ Limited training data

## Step 2: Exploratory Data Analysis

Look at the data. It's surprising how often we forget to actually do this!
Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) is a statistical mindset
$\square$ Box plots, histograms, scatter plots, mean, mode, deviations
$\square$ Can guide the modeling process by

- give you insight into the data
- help (in)validate your assumptions
- detect outliers


## Step 3: Feature Selection

$\square$ What features should I use?
$\square$ Dimensionality reduction if exist time/space constraints

- Reduce noise in the data (irrelevant or redundant features)
$\square$ Dimensionality reduction
- Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Singular value decomposition (SVD)
$\square$ Canonical correlation analysis (CCA)
$\square$ Regularization
$\square$ Use every feature but penalize classifiers that are overly complex

$$
\operatorname{Error}(w)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-h_{w}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)+\lambda\|w\|^{2} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { encourages sparse } \\
\text { weight vectors }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Other tricks

$\square$ Scale input featuresTransform features

- e.g., take logHigher-order features
- e.g., product of features

Again, EDA can help guide this process

## Step 4: Training

Pick your classifier

- Decision tree, perceptron, neural network, SVM, linear regression, logistic regression, random forests, ensembles, Gaussian process regression, hidden Markov models, conditional random field, Bayesian networks,...
$\square$ Bagging or Boosting
Your choice is informed by all of the previous steps
Often there are parameters that must be tuned...


## Ensembles of Classifiers

An ensemble of classifiers - A group of classifiers whose predictions are combined to produce one final prediction
$\square$ Benefits

- Harder to make a wrong prediction
$\square$ More expressive hypothesis



## Boosting

Learn a series of weak classifiers
Weight each weak classifier to create a final strong classifier

Often the weight for each classifier is proportional to its accuracy
AdaBoost (Freund and Schapire 1995)

## Bagging

Short for "Bootstrap aggregating"Given training set $D$
$\square$ Generate $M$ new training sets $D_{i}$ where $\left|D_{i}\right|<|D|$ by sampling from $D$ with replacement
$\square$ This is a statistical technique known as bootstrapping
$\square$ Train a classifier on each of the $M$ new training sets
$\square$ Combine output of $M$ classifiers using averaging or voting

Random Forests (Breimen, 2001)
$\square$ Bagged decision trees

## Cross Validation

$\square$ K-fold cross validation

- Choose a classifier
- Tune a parameter

Repeat K times:

- Provide confidence intervals

Training Data
Split into $K$ equal sets (folds, partitions)


Train on $K-1$ sets
Test on 1 set

| 1 |
| :---: |
| 2 |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\mathrm{k}-1$ |


$\longrightarrow$

$\longrightarrow$


## Step 5: Testing

We have a final hypothesis

We now use our hypothesis to predict on new (unseen) examples from the test set.
$\square$ There's no going back and tweaking the classifier based on its test set performance!

Where do these new unseen examples come from?
$\square$ External source
$\square$ Set aside from training data

## Binary Classification: Measures of Performance

Let $D_{\text {TEST }}=\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \mid i=1 \ldots N\right\}$ be our test set and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ be the set of predicted values

The contingency table is given by:

|  | $y=1$ | $y=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h=1$ | $T P$ | FP |
| $h=0$ | FN | TN |

$\square$ TP is the number of true positives
$\square \mathrm{FP}$ is the number of false positives
$\square \mathrm{FN}$ is the number of false negatives
$\square \mathrm{TN}$ is the number of true negatives

Binary Classification: Measures of Performance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Accuracy }=\frac{T P+T N}{T P+F P+T N+F N} \\
& \text { Precision }=\frac{T P}{T P+F P} \\
& \text { Recall }=\frac{T P}{T P+F N} \\
& \text { Contingency Table } \\
& \mathrm{F}_{1} \text {-score }=2 \cdot \frac{\text { Prec } \cdot \text { Recall }}{\text { Prec }+ \text { Recall }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Binary Classification: Measures of Performance

$$
\text { Accuracy }=\frac{7+8}{7+8+2+3}=\frac{15}{20}=.75
$$

|  | $y=1$ | $y=0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $h=1$ | 7 | 3 |
| $h=0$ | 2 | 8 |

$$
\text { Precision }=\frac{7}{7+3}=.70
$$

Contingency Table

$$
\text { Recall }=\frac{7}{7+2}=.78
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{1}$-score $=2\left(\frac{.70 \cdot .78}{.70+.78}\right)=2\left(\frac{.546}{1.48}\right)=.74$


## Multi-class Classification: Measures of performance

## Evaluate each label separately

 using a "one-vs-all" approach$\square$ Macro-averaging

- Compute the measure (precision, recall, $F_{1}$ ) for each class
- Average across all C classes
- Gives equal weight to all classes
- Micro-averaging
- Pool the TP, FP, FN, TN for all C classes
- Compute the measure (precision, recall, F1)
- Weighted towards performance of most likely class


## Regression: Measures of performance

Mean-squared errorRoot mean-squared error
$\square$ Mean absolute error
$\square$ Mean absolute percentage...


## Summary

$\square$ Overview
$\square$ Step 1: Formulate the problem
$\square$ Step 2: Explore the data
$\square$ Step 3: Feature Selection
$\square$ Step 4: Training
$\square$ Step 5: Testing

