# PROBABILISTIC REASONING OVER TIME 

## Today

$\square$ Reading

- AIMA Chapter 15.1-15.2, 15.5
$\square$ Goals
$\square$ Case study: Latent Dirichlet allocation
$\square$ Reasoning with uncertainty over time
$\square$ Types of inference
■ Filtering, prediction, smoothing, most likely explanation


## Case Study: Latent Dirichlet Allocation

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) is a Bayesian network that describes a hypothetical process of generating a document


## Case Study: LDA

Latent Dirichlet Allocation is a Bayesian network that describes a hypothetical process of generating a document
$\square$ Similarities/differences to past examples?

What are the independencies encoded in the Bayesian Network?


## Case Study: Inference in LDA

## Marginalize out $\theta$ and $\phi$

Use Gibbs sampling to draw samples from the posterior distribution:

$$
p(z \mid w) \propto p(z, w)
$$

Each sample is an assignment of words to topics
We want the most likely assignment, i.e. the assignment of words to topics that has the highest probability

## Case Study: Latent Dirichlet Allocation

Topic 247

| word | prob. |
| ---: | :---: |
| DRUGS | .069 |
| DRUG | .060 |
| MEDICINE | .027 |
| EFFECTS | .026 |
| BODY | .023 |
| MEDICINES | .019 |
| PAIN | .016 |
| PERSON | .016 |
| MARIJUANA | .014 |
| LABEL | .012 |
| ALCOHOL | .012 |
| DANGEROUS | .011 |
| ABUSE | .009 |
| EFFECT | .009 |
| KNOWN | .008 |
| PILLS | .008 |

Topic 5

| word | prob. |
| ---: | :---: |
| RED | .202 |
| BLUE | .099 |
| GREEN | .096 |
| YELLOW | .073 |
| WHITE | .048 |
| COLOR | .048 |
| BRIGHT | .030 |
| COLORS | .029 |
| ORANGE | .027 |
| BROWN | .027 |
| PINK | .017 |
| LOOK | .017 |
| BLACK | .016 |
| PURPLE | .015 |
| CROSS | .011 |
| COLORED | .009 |

Topic 43

| word | prob. |
| ---: | ---: |
| MIND | .081 |
| THOUGHT | .066 |
| REMEMBER | .064 |
| MEMORY | .037 |
| THINKING | .030 |
| PROFESSOR | .028 |
| FELT | .025 |
| REMEMBERED | .022 |
| THOUGHTS | .020 |
| FORGOTTEN | .020 |
| MOMENT | .020 |
| THINK | .019 |
| THING | .016 |
| WONDER | .014 |
| FORGET | .012 |
| RECALL | .012 |

Topic 56

| word | prob. |
| ---: | ---: |
| DOCTOR | .074 |
| DR. | .063 |
| PATIENT | .061 |
| HOSPITAL | .049 |
| CARE | .046 |
| MEDICAL | .042 |
| NURSE | .031 |
| PATIENTS | .029 |
| DOCTORS | .028 |
| HEALTH | .025 |
| MEDICINE | .017 |
| NURSING | .017 |
| DENTAL | .015 |
| NURSES | .013 |
| PHYSICIAN | .012 |
| HOSPITALS | .011 |

Figure 1. An illustration of four (out of 300 ) topics extracted from the TASA corpus.

## Case Study: Latent Dirichlet Allocation

| "Arts" | "Budgets" | "Children" | "Education" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| NEW | MILLION | CHILDREN | SCHOOL |
| FILM | TAX | WOMEN | STUDENTS |
| SHOW | PROGRAM | PEOPLE | SCHOOLS |
| MUSIC | BUDGET | CHILD | EDUCATION |
| MOVIE | BILLION | YEARS | TEACHERS |
| PLAY | FEDERAL | FAMILIES | HIGH |
| MUSICAL | YEAR | WORK | PUBLIC |
| BEST | SPENDING | PARENTS | TEACHER |
| ACTOR | NEW | SAYS | BENNETT |
| FIRST | STATE | FAMILY | MANIGAT |
| YORK | PLAN | WELFARE | NAMPHY |
| OPERA | MONEY | MEN | STATE |
| THEATER | PROGRAMS | PERCENT | PRESIDENT |
| ACTRESS | GOVERNMENT | CARE | ELEMENTARY |
| LOVE | CONGRESS | LIFE | HAITI |

## Modeling uncertainty over time

Sometimes, we want to model a dynamic process:
the value of the random variables change over time
$\square$ Price of a stock
$\square$ Patient stats, e.g. blood pressure, heart rate, blood sugar levels
$\square$ Traffic on California highways
$\square$ Pollution, humidity, temperature, rain fall, storms
$\square$ Sensor tracking and detection

## Modeling uncertainty over time

Tracy got a new job working at the Coop. She works the late shift and doesn't get off until 2am. When she works the late shift, I often observe her eyes are red the next day. But sometimes she stays up late doing homework, and her eyes are red anyways.

What are questions we might be interested in asking?
$\square$ How can we model this domain as a Bayesian network?

## Modeling uncertainty over time

Suppose we also know that if Tracy works the late shift one night she is less likely to work the late shift the next night.

How does this change the model?

## States and Evidence

Model a dynamic process as a series of time slices
Each time slice contains a set of random variables
$\square$ We observe the value of some random variables called the evidence. Often denoted as $E_{t}$
$\square$ We don't observe the value of some random variables called the state. Often denoted as $X_{t}$

## Transition Model

$\square$ We're often interested in reasoning about the state variables
$X_{t}$ given the history $X_{0: t-1}$
$\square$ Markov Assumption: the state variable $X_{t}$ depends on a bounded subset of $X_{0: t-1}$
$\square$ First order Markov Process: $P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{0: t-1}\right)=P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right)$
$\square$ Second order Markov Process: $P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{0: t-1}\right)=P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}\right)$
(a)

(b)


## Transition Model

$\square$ We're often interested in reasoning about the state variables $X_{t}$ given the history $X_{0: t-1}$
$\square$ Stationarity Assumption: the conditional distribution $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} \mid \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)$ is the same for all t
$\square$ Need to specify only one conditional distribution

## Sensor (emission) model

The state variables are responsible for generating (emitting) the evidence variables

- Sensor Markov Assumption: the evidence at time t is independent of every other random variable given the state at time $t$
- As a result, your state should encompass all relevant information for specifying the evidence


## Hidden Markov Model

$\square$ Hidden Markov Models involve three things:
$\square$ Transition model: $P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right)$
$\square$ Emission (evidence) model: $P\left(E_{t} \mid X_{t}\right)$

- Prior probability: $P\left(X_{0}\right)$



## Inference Tasks

$\square$ Filtering: $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} \mid \mathrm{e}_{1: t}\right)$
$\square$ Decision making in the here and now
Prediction: $P\left(X_{t+k} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$
$\square$ Trying to plan the future
Smoothing: $P\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$ for $0 \leq k<t$
$\square$ Gives a better (smoother) estimate than filtering by taking into account future evidence
$\square$ Most Likely Explanation (MLE): $\underset{\mathbf{x}_{1: t}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(x_{1: t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$
$\square$ e.g., speech recognition, sketch recognition

Filtering: $P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$
$\square$ A recursive state estimation algorithm

Filtering: $P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$

$\square$ Assume we already have $p\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right)$

Filtering: $P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$

$\square$ Update from state $X_{t-1}$ to $X_{t}$

Filtering: $P\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$


Then incorporate the new evidence $E_{t}$

## The Forward Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)=p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}, e_{t}\right) \\
& \propto p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}, e_{1: t-1}\right) p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right) \\
&=p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \\
& \underbrace{p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Incorporate } \\
\text { evidence }
\end{array}} \\
& \underbrace{}_{\text {Update state }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Forward Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right) & =p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}, e_{t}\right) \\
& \propto p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}, e_{1: t-1}\right) p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} p\left(X_{t}, X_{t-1} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} p\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}, e_{1: t-1}\right) p\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right) \\
& =p(\underbrace{p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right)} \sum_{X_{t-1}} p(\underbrace{\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right) p\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right.})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Emission } \quad \text { Transmission }+ \text { recursion }
$$

## Filtering Example

$$
\left.p\left(R_{0}\right)=<0.5,0.5\right\rangle
$$

| $R_{t-1}$ | $p\left(R_{t} \mid R_{t-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 0.7 |
| $F$ | 0.3 |



| $R_{t}$ | $p\left(U_{t} \mid R_{t}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 0.9 |
| $F$ | 0.2 |

$$
p\left(X_{t} \mid e_{1: t}\right) \propto p\left(e_{t} \mid X_{t}\right) \sum_{X_{t-1}} p\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right) p\left(X_{t-1} \mid e_{1: t-1}\right)
$$

## Prediction

$\square$ Compute $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{k}} \mid \mathrm{e}_{1: \mathrm{t}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{k}>0$
$\square$ Given the equations for filtering, can you figure out how to do prediction?

Smoothing: $p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$ for $1 \leq k<t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: t}\right) & =p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}, e_{k+1: t}\right) \\
& \propto p\left(X_{k}, e_{k+1: t} \mid e_{1: k}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k}, e_{1: k}\right) p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k}\right) \underbrace{p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}\right)}_{\text {Forward Algorithm }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Backward Algorithm


...

$\square$ A recursive state estimation algorithm

## The Backward Algorithm


$\square$ Assume we have $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \mid \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}+2: \mathrm{t}}\right)$

The Backward Algorithm


Incorporate evidence via $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}+1} \mid \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)$

## The Backward Algorithm


$\square$ Update the state via $p\left(X_{k+1} \mid X_{k}\right)$

## Smoothing: $p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: t}\right)$ for $1 \leq k<t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: t}\right) & =p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}, e_{k+1: t}\right) \\
& \propto p\left(X_{k}, e_{k+1: t} \mid e_{1: k}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k}, e_{1: k}\right) p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}\right) \\
& =p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k}\right) \underbrace{p\left(X_{k} \mid e_{1: k}\right)}_{\text {Forward Algorithm }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k}\right) & =\sum_{X_{k}+1} p\left(e_{k+1: t}, X_{k+1} \mid X_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{X_{k}+1} p\left(e_{k+1: t} \mid X_{k+1}\right) p\left(X_{k+1} \mid X_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{X_{k}+1} p(\underbrace{e_{k+1} \mid X_{k+1}}_{\text {Emission }}) p(\underbrace{e_{k+2: t} \mid X_{k+1}}_{\text {Recursion }}) p(\underbrace{\left(X_{k+1} \mid X_{k}\right)}_{\text {Transmission }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Smoothing Example

$$
\left.p\left(R_{0}\right)=<0.5,0.5\right\rangle
$$

| $R_{t-1}$ | $p\left(R_{t} \mid R_{t-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 0.7 |
| $F$ | 0.3 |


| $R_{t}$ | $p\left(U_{t} \mid R_{t}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | 0.9 |
| $F$ | 0.2 |



| $P\left(r_{1} \mid v_{1}\right)$ | $P\left(r_{2} \mid v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ | $P\left(r_{1} \mid v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.818 | 0.883 | $?$ |

## Most Likely Explanation

Find the state sequence that makes the observed evidence sequence most likely

$$
\underset{X_{1: t}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P\left(X_{1: t} \mid e_{1: t}\right)
$$

Recursive formulation:
$\square$ The most likely state sequence for $X_{1: t}$ is the most likely state sequence for $X_{1: t-1}$ followed by the transition to $X_{t}$ Equivalent to Filtering algorithm except summation replaced with max

- Called the Viterbi Algorithm


## Dynamic Bayesian Networks

Any BN that represents a temporal probability distribution using state variables and evidence variables is called a Dynamic Bayesian Network
$\square$ A Hidden Markov Model is the simplest type of DBN
$\square$ State is represented by a single variable
$\square$ Evidence is represented by a single variable
$\square$ Applications

- speech recognition
- handwriting recognition
- gesture recognition


## Approximate Inference in Dynamic BN

Recall approximate inference algorithms from previous lecture
$\square$ Direct sampling, rejection sampling, likelihood weighting
$\square$ Gibbs sampling

Likelihood weighting applied to DBN (with some modifications) is known as a Particle filter

## Particle Filtering

Likelihood weighting fixes the evidence variables and samples only the non-evidence variables Introduces a weight to correct for the fact that we're sampling from the prior distribution instead of the posterior distribution

```
weight = p(e ( | Parents(e, ))*p(e}\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}|\operatorname{Parents(e}\mp@subsup{e}{2}{\prime}) ...
```


## Particle Filtering

## Initialize

$\square$ Draw $N$ particles (i.e. samples) for $X_{0}$ from the prior distribution $p\left(X_{0}\right)$Propagate
$\square$ Propagate each particle forward by sampling $X_{t+1} \mid X_{t}$

## Weight

$\square$ Weight each particle by $p\left(e_{t+1} \mid X_{t+1}\right)$

## Resample

$\square$ Generate N new particles by sampling proportional to the weights. The new particles are unweighted

## Particle Filtering

- Particles: track samples of states rather than an explicit distribution


