## BAYESIAN NETWORKS

## Today

$\square$ Reading

- AIMA Chapter 14.1-14.4
$\square$ Goals
$\square$ Bayesian networks
$\square$ (Exact inference in Bayesian networks)


## Summary of distributions so far

$$
p(x)=\sum_{y} p(x, y)
$$

Marginal

$p(x \mid y)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p(y)}$

## The Product Rule

$\square$ Given the conditional and marginal distributions, we can compute the joint distribution using the Product Rule:

$$
p(x \mid y)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p(y)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(x, y)=p(x \mid y) \cdot p(y)
$$

Represents the joint distribution in a causal and more natural way:
$\square$ Intelligence $=$ \{high, low $\}$
$\square$ SAT $=\{$ high, low $\}$
$\square p($ Intelligence, SAT $)=p($ SAT $\mid$ Intelligence $) p($ Intelligence $)$

## The Chain Rule

$\square$ In general, the joint distribution of a set of random variables can be expressed as a product of conditional and marginal distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =p\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot p\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) \ldots p\left(x_{n} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Derived from repeated applications of the Product rule

## Independence

$\square$ Two variables are independent if knowing the value of one variable does not alter the distribution of the other variable
$\square$ Mathematical definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(X=x, Y=y) & =p(X=x \mid Y=y) \cdot p(Y=y) \\
& =p(X=x) \cdot p(Y=y)
\end{aligned} \quad \forall x, y
$$

$\square$ The joint distribution now factors into the product of simpler distributions

- Example
- p(CoinToss1, CoinToss2) $=p($ CoinToss1) $p($ CoinToss2)
- p (CarAccident, 49ersWin) $=\mathrm{p}$ (CarAccident) p (49ersWin)


## Conditional independence

Two variables are conditionally independent if
$p(X=x, Y=y \mid Z=z)=p(X=x \mid Z=z) \cdot p(Y=y \mid Z=z)$

In other words, given $Z$ the variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent
Examples
$\square \mathrm{p}($ Fever, Headache $)=\mathrm{p}$ (Fever $\mid$ Headache) p (Headache)
$\square p($ Fever, Headache $\mid$ Flu $)=p($ Fever $\mid$ Flu $) ~ p($ Headache $\mid$ Flu $)$

## Moving away from numerical quantities

"The traditional definition of independence uses equality of numerical quantities, as in

$$
p(x, y)=p(x) p(y)
$$

suggesting that one must test whether the joint distribution of $X$ and $Y$ is equal to the product of their marginals in order to determine whether $X$ and $Y$ are independent. By contrast people can easily and confidently detect dependencies, even though they may not be able to provide precise numerical estimates of probabilities. A person who is reluctant to estimate the probability of being burglarized the next day or of having a nuclear war within five years can nevertheless state with ease whether the two events are dependent, namely, whether knowing the truth of one proposition will alter the belief of the other."

\author{

- Judea Pearl
}


## Moving away from numerical quantities

"It is usually easy for a domain expert to decide what direct influences exist in the domain - much easier, in fact, than actually specifying the probabilities themselves"
$\square$ Humans can "easily and confidently" detect dependencies
$\square$ Move away from numerical representation of the joint distribution (or the conditional distributions) to a representation that encodes dependencies


## Bayesian Network

Bayesian networks represent dependencies among variables and concisely encode the full joint dist.

A Bayesian network is a directed acyclic graph where:
Nodes correspond to random variables
$\square$ Directed edge btw pairs of nodes represent direct influence
$\square$ Each node has a conditional probability distribution

$$
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\text { Bayesian Network }=\text { Topology }+ \text { CPT }
$$

## Bayesian Network Examples

$\square$ Weather $=\{$ rainy, sunny, cloudy, snowy $\}$
$\square$ Cavity $=\{$ yes, no $\}$
$\square$ Toothache $=\{y e s$, no $\}$
$\square$ Catch $=\{$ yes, no $\}$


## Bayesian Network Examples



## Bayesian Network Examples

p(Sprinkler | Rain)

| Rain | Sprink |
| :---: | :---: |
| F | 0.5 |
| T | 0.01 |


p(Grass|Sprinkler, Rain)

| Sprink | Rain | Grass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | F | 0.0 |
| F | T | 0.8 |
| T | F | 0.9 |
| T | T | 0.99 |

## Bayesian Network Examples

$\square$ Burglary $=\{$ yes, no $\}$
$\square$ Earthquake $=\{$ yes, no $\}$
$\square$ Alarm $=\{$ yes, no $\}$
$\square$ MaryCalls $=\{$ yes, no $\}$
$\square$ JohnCalls $=\{$ yes no $\}$

## Bayesian Network Examples




## Example: MammoNet



## Example: ARCO 1 (Forecasting Oil Prices)



## Representing the joint distribution

The joint distribution is given by a product of the conditional distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(j, m, a, \neg b, \neg e) & =p(j \mid m, a, \neg b, \neg e) p(m \mid a, \neg b, \neg e) p(a \mid \neg b, \neg e) p(b \mid \neg e) p(e) \\
& =p(j \mid a) p(m \mid a) p(a \mid \neg b, \neg e) p(b) p(e) \\
& =0.9 \cdot 0.7 \cdot 0.001 \cdot 0.999 \cdot 0.998
\end{aligned}
$$

If each variable has $k$ parents, how many probabilities are required?
$\mathrm{N}=30$ binary variables and $\mathrm{k}=5$ parents each
$\square$ Bayesian Network requires 960 probabilities
$\square$ The full joint requires over a billion

## Constructing a Bayesian Network

Step One: Determine an ordering of the random variables

$$
\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}
$$

Step Two: For each variable $X_{i}$, choose minimal set of nodes from $\left\{\mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right\}$ required to specify the conditional distribution

$$
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)
$$

Step Three: Specify the conditional probability tables (CPTs):

- Interview experts
- Learn from data
- Learn discrete probabilities
- Specify a parametric formula, e.g. Gaussian distribution, and learn parameters, e.g. mean and variance, from data


## Constructing a Bayesian Network

(MaryCalls, JohnCalls, Alarm, Burglary, Earthquake)

## Constructing a Bayesian Network

(MaryCalls, JohnCalls, Alarm, Burglary, Earthquake)

(a)

(b)

## Bayesian Networks terminology



Independence assumptions encoded in the Bayesian Network

Local Markov Assumption:
A node $X$ is independent of its non-descendents

Non-descendent given its parents

## Independence assumptions encoded in the Bayesian Network



## Three Types of Connections

## Linear



## Converging



## Connection patterns and independence

$\square$ Linear connection: The two end variables are dependent on each other. The middle variable renders them independent.
$\square$ Converging connection: The two end variables are independent of each other. The middle variable renders them dependent.
$\square$ Divergent connection: The two end variables are dependent on each other. The middle variable renders them independent.


## Commonly used Bayesian Networks

$\square$ Naïve Bayes Classifier
$\square$ Commonly used for text classification (and medical diagnosis)
$\square \mathrm{C}$ is the class (topic or label) of the document
$\square$ The X variables represent the words in the document
 Artificial intelligence branch computer

## Commonly used Bayesian Networks

$\square$ Naïve Bayes Classifier
$\square$ What are the independence assumptions encoded in this BN ?
$\square$ Given these independence assumptions, how does the joint distribution factor?

- What are the distributions that must be specified?



## Commonly used Bayesian Networks

## Hidden markov model

$\square$ Used for time series, e.g. speech recognition
$\square$ What are the independence assumptions?


## Inference in Bayesian Networks

$\square$ Probabilistic inference refers to the task of computing some desired probability given other known probabilities (evidence)
$\square$ Exact Inference

- Enumeration
- Variable elimination
$\square$ Approximate Inference
- Direct sampling
- Rejection sampling
- Likelihood weighting
- MCMC


## Recall: Burglary network



## Inference by Enumeration

Step-One:-select the entries
in the table consistent with
the evidence (this becomes
our world)

Step Two: sum over the H
Step Three: Normalize variables to get the joint distribution of the query and evidence variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(b \mid j, m) & \propto \sum_{e} \sum_{a} p(b, j, m, e, a) \\
& =\sum_{e} \sum_{a} p(b) \cdot p(e) \cdot p(j \mid a) \cdot p(m \mid a) \cdot p(a \mid b, e) \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { Conditional and joint differ only by } \\
\text { the normalizing constant }
\end{array} \\
& =p(b) \sum_{e} p(e) \sum_{a} p(j \mid a) \cdot p(m \mid a) \cdot p(a \mid b, e) \quad \text { Independencies read from } \mathrm{BN}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Compute $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{b} \mid i, \mathrm{~m})$ and $\mathrm{p}(-\mathrm{b} \mid \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m})$ and then normalize
$\square$ May compute the same expression more than once


## Inference by Enumeration



## Inference by Variable Elimination

Carry out sums from right to left storing intermediate results to avoid recomputation

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(B \mid j, m) & =\alpha p(B) \sum_{e} p(e) \sum_{a} p(a \mid B, e) p(j \mid a) p(m \mid a) \\
& =\alpha f_{1}(B) \sum_{e} f_{2}(e) \sum_{a} f_{3}(A, B, E) f_{4}(A) f_{5}(A) \\
& =\alpha f_{1}(B) \sum_{e} f_{2}(e) f_{6}(B, E) \\
& =\alpha f_{1}(B) f_{7}(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

Results are stored in factors (matrices)
Two operations: pointwise multiplication and summation

## Inference by Variable Elimination

Point-wise multiplication of two factors

| A | B | $\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$ | B | C | $\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C})$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{f}_{3}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | .3 | T | T | .2 | T | T | T |  |
| T | F | .7 | T | F | .8 | T | T | F |  |
| F | T | .9 | F | T | .6 | T | F | T |  |
| F | F | .1 | F | F | .4 | T | F | F |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | T | T |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | T | F |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | F | T |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | F | F |  |

Summing out a variable corresponds to adding submatrices

## Inference by Variable Elimination

$\square$ Every variable that is not an ancestor of a query variable or evidence variable is irrelevant
$\square$ Ordering of variables for summing out affects the time and space of VE
$\square$ For polytrees (at most one path between any two nodes), VE is linear in the size of the network $\square$ In general, time and space are exponential


